

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/688,175	10/17/2003	Emilio Ambasz	AP35276-070170.0631	9530
21003 7	590 06/24/2004		EXAMINER	
BAKER & BOTTS 30 ROCKEFELLER PLAZA			CRANMER, LAURIE K	
NEW YORK, NY 10112			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3636	
			DATE MAIL ED: 06/24/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		//				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	10/688,175	AMBASZ, EMILIO				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Laurie K. Cranmer	3636				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address X Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 O	<u>ctober 2003</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ This						
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-19</u> is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-13</u> is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>14-17</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) 18 and 19 is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	er.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).						
11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	caminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage						
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).						
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date  Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08)  Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/23/03</u>	6) Other:					
S. Patent and Trademark Office	Committee and the committee an					

Application/Control Number: 10/688,175

Art Unit: 3636

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 14-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ambasz (Canadian patent 2,316368) in view of Hansen.

Ambasz teaches a stackable chair comprising a frame that includes legs and a pair of spaced-apart back supports, an armrest support, an armrest mounted on the armrest support such that the armrest is pivotal and biased to a use position substantially as claimed except for the armrest being simultaneously translational.

The patent to Hansen teaches an armrest movable in a simultaneous pivotal and translational manner including a pivot pin 18 a slot 21, and a control slot 12 to be old and well known in the art. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to modify the Ambasz device such that it had both pivotal and translational movement

Application/Control Number: 10/688,175

Art Unit: 3636

as taught to be old by Hansen thereby providing the obvious advantage of facilitating chair stacking.

Claims 1-13 are allowed.

Claims 18 and 19 objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter: The prior art fails to teach a stackable chair including, inter alia, a tiltable backrest including a rigid coupling member having a lower portion received within an upper end portion of the respective back support and pivotally joined to the respective back support and having an upper portion received within and affixed to a socket in the backrest and in that each mount unit further includes a compression spring received within the upper end portion of the back support and engaged between the lower portion of the coupling member and a front wall of the respective back support so as to bias the backrest to the upright position as specifically claimed.

## Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Wolfe, McDonald, Jr., Schlosstein, Fismen, Cao, Bergsten et al,. and Piretti all teach devices similar to that of the instant invention.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Laurie K. Cranmer whose telephone number is 703-308-2115. The examiner can normally be reached on T-Th.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Peter M. Cuomo can be reached on 703-308-2168. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 3636

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Laurie K. Cranmer Primary Examiner Art Unit 3636

LKC 6/21/04